SUPERVISION AS A RELATIONAL PROCESS IN MINDFULNESS- AND COMPASSION-ORIENTED INTEGRATIVE PSYCHOTHERAPY (MCIP)

Claudia-Gabriela DUMITRIU[1,2,3]
[1]Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, University of Bucharest, Romania
[2]ArtePsy – Association for Expressive-Creative Therapies and Clinical Psychology
[3] Institute of Psychotherapy, Psychological Counselling and Clinical Supervision
Email: gabrielacdumitriu@gmail.com

Abstract: *Mindfulness- and Compassion-Oriented Integrative Psychotherapy (MCIP) conceptualizes mindfulness and compassion as meta-processes of change unfolding within an attuned therapeutic relationship, supporting integration across cognitive, emotional, somatic, and interpersonal domains [1]. This theoretical paper extends the framework to clinical supervision, arguing that MCIP supervision is best understood as a relational process in which learning and professional development emerge from a mindful-compassionate field, not only from the transmission of techniques. Building on integrative supervision principles emphasizing the supervisory alliance, presence, and the supervisor's role as a relational model [2], we propose that MCIP supervision cultivates therapist qualities that are clinically decisive yet difficult to teach through instruction alone, including embodied awareness, reflective capacity, emotional regulation, and self-compassion. Drawing on mindfulness-informed accounts of schema activation and transformation, we further propose that supervision can provide a context in which therapists recognize their own triggered maladaptive schemas and respond with compassion, reducing defensive reactivity and enabling more stable therapeutic presence [3]. Consistent with research linking stronger supervisory relationships to reduced burnout and improved coherence, this framework positions the supervisory bond as a key protective and meaning-making mechanism [4]. Ethical responsibility is addressed through compassionate accountability, maintaining evaluation without shaming while protecting client welfare [5, 2]. Finally, the paper highlights supervisor-centered protective practices as essential for sustaining attunement and preventing burnout.*

Keywords: clinical supervision, integrative psychotherapy, mindfulness, compassion, supervisory relationship, professional identity

1. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS OF MCIP

Mindfulness-and Compassion-Oriented Integrative Psychotherapy (MCIP) conceptualizes therapeutic change as a fundamentally relational and experiential process in which mindfulness and compassion function as meta-processes of transformation within an attuned therapeutic relationship [1]. Rather than viewing techniques as primary agents of

change, MCIP assumes that sustained present-moment awareness and compassionate responsiveness toward self and other create the psychological conditions necessary for restructuring maladaptive schemas. Within this framework, mindfulness facilitates the phenomenological recognition of activated cognitive-emotional patterns, while compassion enables affect regulation and corrective emotional processing. It is further argued that mindfulness-informed experiential engagement promotes memory reconsolidation processes that can disrupt entrenched maladaptive schemas, particularly when these experiences occur within a safe relational context [3]. From this perspective, enduring psychological change does not emerge solely through cognitive insight but through embodied, mindful experiencing that is accompanied by compassionate reprocessing of previously encoded relational pain.

The theoretical implications of MCIP extend beyond psychotherapy into the domain of clinical supervision. If change in therapy is mediated by relational presence and compassion, then supervision cannot be reduced to a technical transfer of skills. Instead, supervision must reflect the same meta-processes that guide therapy. Integrative supervision models have long emphasized the centrality of the supervisory alliance, the supervisor's modeling role, and the relational dimension of training [6, 2]. Within an MCIP framework, these elements are further specified: the supervisor embodies mindful awareness and compassionate attunement as active regulatory processes that shape the supervisee's professional development. Supervision thus becomes a relational holding environment in which the supervisee's anxieties, self-doubt, and performance-related shame can be processed within a context of nonjudgmental awareness and supportive responsiveness.

Empirical findings indirectly support this relational emphasis. Research indicates that the strength of the supervisory relationship is associated with lower burnout symptoms and a stronger sense of coherence among psychotherapists [4].

Likewise, literature on integrative supervision underscores that feedback and skill development are most effective when embedded in a warm and authentic working alliance [2]. These findings align with the MCIP assumption that relational quality is not an adjunct to training but a central mechanism influencing therapist resilience and growth.

On this basis, it is theoretically inferred that supervision informed by mindfulness and compassion may enhance adaptive professional engagement while buffering against stress-related depletion. This extension of schema and relational theory to supervision remains a conceptual proposition derived from MCIP principles [1, 3] rather than a directly established empirical finding.

In summary, the conceptual foundations of MCIP suggest that supervision functions not merely as pedagogical oversight but as a relational field in which mindfulness and compassion regulate affect, transform maladaptive patterns, and support the supervisee's integration of professional competence with personal development.

2. SUPERVISION BEYOND TECHNICAL TRANSMISSION

Within a Mindfulness- and Compassion-Oriented Integrative Psychotherapy framework, supervision cannot be reduced to the acquisition of technical proficiency. Although case conceptualization, intervention planning, and corrective feedback remain essential components of professional training, MCIP proposes that the deeper layer of

supervision concerns the cultivation of the therapist's psychological stance. Traditional supervisory approaches have often privileged technique acquisition and adherence to theoretical models. By contrast, integrative supervision literature emphasizes that the supervisor functions not only as an evaluator of competence but also as a relational model who embodies presence, authenticity, and ethical integrity [2]. The supervisor's attitudinal stance becomes an implicit curriculum, shaping how the supervisee understands therapeutic engagement.

In MCIP, this modeling function is explicitly grounded in mindfulness and compassion. Mindfulness is conceptualized as sustained, nonjudgmental awareness of present-moment experience, including internal reactions to clinical material. Compassion involves an intentional orientation toward alleviating suffering in oneself and others. When supervisors embody these qualities, they transmit more than procedural knowledge; they demonstrate how to regulate affect, tolerate uncertainty, and remain open in the face of complexity. Experiential supervisory practices, such as brief centering exercises, reflective pauses, or gentle attention to the supervisee's embodied responses, operationalize this stance. These methods reinforce the idea that therapeutic competence emerges through embodied learning and relational experience rather than through intellectual mastery alone. Extending traditional tripartite models of supervision, emotional and physiological regulation are conceptualized as a distinct supervisory function [7]. Within this framework, supervision explicitly addresses the supervisee's autonomic and affective responses, thereby supporting embodied regulation as a prerequisite for effective clinical presence.

Research on common factors in psychotherapy supports the centrality of relational presence. Therapeutic alliance and therapist qualities consistently account for substantial variance in outcomes across modalities [8].

While these findings concern psychotherapy rather than supervision directly, supervision is widely understood as a parallel process in which relational dynamics shape professional development. Empirical evidence further indicates that the quality of the supervisory alliance is associated with reduced burnout and enhanced sense of coherence among psychotherapists [4]. These findings indirectly support the MCIP assumption that relational depth in supervision contributes to therapist resilience and clinical effectiveness.

Compassion-focused research also reinforces the importance of cultivating self-compassion in clinicians. Self-compassion has been associated with lower levels of self-criticism, reduced psychological distress, and improved emotional regulation [9, 10]. Compassion is conceptualized as a trainable capacity that can be intentionally strengthened through practice, with measurable effects on affect tolerance and relational responsiveness [11]. From a supervisory perspective, nurturing the supervisee's capacity for self-compassion may reduce defensive reactions to feedback and support reflective learning. Although direct empirical studies linking self-compassion training within supervision to therapist outcomes remain limited, the convergence of compassion research and supervision literature suggests that fostering self-compassion is theoretically consistent with promoting professional growth.

MCIP supervision therefore prioritizes psychological stance over protocol memorization. The supervisor supports the therapist's capacity to remain present with client suffering, to recognize and regulate personal triggers, and to transform self-doubt into compassionate self-understanding. It is emphasized that effective supervision is anchored in a satisfying and authentic working alliance characterized by warmth and genuineness

[2]. Within MCIP, this relational foundation is not merely supportive but formative. The supervisee internalizes the supervisor's mindful-compassionate stance as a clinical skill and as an element of professional identity.

The assertion that MCIP supervision shifts the paradigm from transmitting techniques to cultivating mindful presence represents a theoretically grounded extension of integrative supervision principles and compassion research rather than a direct empirical finding. Nevertheless, existing evidence concerning supervisory alliance, therapist well-being, and compassion training provides a coherent scientific basis for this conceptualization.

3. THE SUPERVISORY RELATIONSHIP AS A MINDFUL-COMPASSIONATE FIELD

Within a Mindfulness- and Compassion-Oriented Integrative Psychotherapy framework, the supervisory relationship is conceptualized not merely as a pedagogical alliance but as a relational field structured by shared mindfulness and compassion. This position rests on the assumption that the same meta-processes that facilitate therapeutic change can also organize professional development. Mindfulness, understood as sustained, nonjudgmental awareness of present-moment experience, becomes a co-regulatory process within supervision. When supervisor and supervisee intentionally engage in mindful attention, the relational space itself is altered, shifting from evaluative scrutiny to reflective presence. This understanding is consistent with integrative supervision models that explicitly conceptualize mindfulness and compassion as organizing principles of the supervisory process, emphasizing shared presence, relational attunement, and ethical embodiment within supervision [7].

Emerging research on interpersonal mindfulness provides indirect empirical support for this conceptualization. Experimental findings suggest that shared mindfulness practices enhance interpersonal attunement and synchrony. For instance, dyads engaging in brief mindfulness exercises exhibited increased neural synchrony during subsequent cooperative tasks compared to control conditions [12]. Although these findings derive from laboratory contexts rather than supervision settings, they indicate that mindfulness may enhance relational alignment at both attentional and neurophysiological levels. Applied cautiously to supervision, such evidence suggests that shared centering practices at the beginning of supervisory sessions may foster greater attunement and collaborative engagement. This inference represents a theoretically grounded extension rather than a direct empirical conclusion.

Qualitative and conceptual supervision research similarly emphasizes the relational depth fostered by mindful presence. Mindfulness-based supervision is described as a process of relational inquiry characterized by attentive listening, reflective pauses, and reciprocal openness [13]. Within this framework, the supervisor's capacity to tolerate silence and ambiguity models psychological spaciousness, inviting the supervisee into a parallel stance of curiosity and non-defensiveness. The relational field thus becomes dialogical rather than hierarchical, shaped by mutual presence rather than unilateral evaluation.

Compassion introduces a complementary dimension. Compassion in supervision involves an intentional responsiveness to the supervisee's vulnerability, particularly when anxiety, shame, or self-doubt emerge. It is argued that experiencing compassion within

supervision provides a corrective relational experience that therapists can internalize and subsequently extend to clients [5]. From an MCIP perspective, compassionate responses do not negate evaluative responsibilities but create psychological safety in which learning can occur without defensive withdrawal. When supervisors normalize errors and invite self-kindness, they support adaptive emotional regulation and reflective functioning . We can note the centrality of the observing self in mindfulness- and compassion-oriented supervision [7]. From this perspective, supervision cultivates the supervisee's capacity to adopt a decentered stance toward internal reactions, thereby strengthening reflective functioning and reducing reactive responding.

Empirical research underscores the importance of supervisory alliance quality for therapist well-being. It was found that stronger supervisory relationships were associated with lower burnout symptoms and higher sense of coherence among health professionals [4].

Although causal mechanisms remain to be clarified, these findings reinforce the proposition that relational security within supervision contributes to professional resilience. Integrating these strands of research, MCIP conceptualizes the supervisory bond as an active mechanism of change. The mindful-compassionate field created by the supervisor supports affect regulation, enhances reflective capacity, and models relational ethics. Where direct neuroscientific evidence specific to supervision is absent, the argument is framed as a theoretically coherent extrapolation from interpersonal mindfulness research and established findings on supervisory alliance and therapist well-being.

4. REGULATION OF THE THERAPIST'S WOUNDED SELF IN SUPERVISION

A central contribution of Mindfulness- and Compassion-Oriented Integrative Psychotherapy is the recognition that the therapist's internal world actively participates in the therapeutic process. The "Wounded Self" is conceptualized as the constellation of maladaptive schemas and unresolved relational experiences that may be reactivated in emotionally charged clinical encounters [3].

These schema activations can manifest as defensiveness, overidentification, withdrawal, shame, or excessive self-criticism. Within an MCIP-informed supervision framework, such reactions are not treated as signs of incompetence but as meaningful psychological material requiring reflective processing.

Supervision thus becomes a structured context for recognizing and regulating the therapist's activated schemas. The supervisor's mindful stance enables the identification of subtle affective shifts, embodied tension, or avoidance patterns that may indicate schema activation. By gently inviting awareness of these responses, the supervisor supports metacognitive reflection. Mindfulness here functions as a regulatory mechanism, facilitating decentering and reducing automatic reactivity. Compassion, in turn, provides an affective corrective element. When supervisors respond with warmth, normalization, and empathic validation, they model an alternative internal dialogue that counters self-criticism and shame. Compassionate supervisory environments foster psychological safety and optimal professional growth, particularly when vulnerability is present [5].

This supervisory process parallels the therapeutic application of mindfulness and compassion in schema healing. Mindful experiential engagement, combined with compassionate reprocessing, can transform entrenched maladaptive patterns through

corrective emotional experience [3]. Extending this principle to supervision, we propose that when a supervisor helps a therapist recognize and compassionately respond to their own activated schema, a similar mechanism of transformation may occur. This constitutes a theoretically grounded extension of schema theory to the supervisory context rather than a directly established empirical finding.

Empirical research on supervision provides indirect support for the protective role of relational safety. Stronger supervisory relationships have been associated with lower burnout symptoms and higher sense of coherence among health professionals [4]. Although these findings do not specifically examine schema transformation, they suggest that supportive supervisory bonds buffer stress and promote adaptive professional functioning. Within an MCIP framework, such buffering may operate partly through the regulation of the therapist's wounded aspects of self.

We therefore hypothesize that consistent mindful-compassionate supervision facilitates the gradual integration of the therapist's Wounded Self into a more stable and reflective Authentic Self, as prior conceptualized [3]. This hypothesis remains conceptual and requires empirical validation. Nonetheless, it is coherent with established findings regarding the importance of relational safety in supervision and with theoretical accounts of schema transformation in mindfulness-informed integrative psychotherapy.

5. ETHICAL ACCOUNTABILITY WITHIN A COMPASSIONATE FRAMEWORK

A Mindfulness- and Compassion-Oriented Integrative Psychotherapy supervisory model integrates ethical oversight with relational empathy. Supervision necessarily includes evaluative and gatekeeping responsibilities aimed at safeguarding client welfare and ensuring professional competence. It is emphasized that supervisors are entrusted with monitoring standards of practice and addressing deficiencies when they arise [2]. This evaluative function aligns with broader competency-based supervision frameworks, which underscore the supervisor's responsibility to protect clients and uphold professional ethics. Within an MCIP perspective, however, ethical accountability is exercised through a compassionate relational stance rather than through adversarial critique.

Compassion does not diminish standards; rather, it shapes the manner in which standards are communicated and enacted. When errors or lapses occur, the supervisory response attends simultaneously to corrective action and to the supervisee's emotional experience. A self-compassionate supervisory environment normalizes the human dimension of professional fallibility while maintaining accountability [5]. In practice, this entails acknowledging the supervisee's vulnerability, validating emotional responses, and collaboratively examining the clinical implications of the error. Such an approach reduces the likelihood of defensive withdrawal and promotes reflective engagement.

Consistent with relational supervision models, the literature underscores the importance of recognizing and repairing supervisory alliance ruptures [7]. A mindfulness- and compassion-informed stance enables supervisors to address tensions without escalating defensiveness, thus preserving both relational safety and evaluative clarity.

Research on supervision suggests that harsh or overly critical supervisory climates may undermine supervisee confidence and inhibit learning [2]. Although empirical investigations specifically examining compassionate accountability remain limited, existing evidence supports the importance of a secure and respectful supervisory alliance for effective professional development. Within MCIP, the supervisor models the same mindful-compassionate stance expected of therapists in their work with clients. Corrective feedback is delivered with clarity and firmness but without shaming. This integration of ethical rigor and relational sensitivity constitutes a theoretically grounded model of compassionate accountability. While conceptually consistent with established supervision principles and compassion research, this framework remains a proposed extension requiring further empirical examination.

6. DEVELOPMENT OF PROFESSIONAL IDENTITY IN MCIP

Within a Mindfulness- and Compassion-Oriented Integrative Psychotherapy framework, supervision contributes to the gradual formation of a professional identity grounded in mindfulness, integrity, and reflective awareness. Professional development is understood not solely as the acquisition of technical competence but as the integration of clinical skills with self-awareness and ethical sensitivity. Therapeutic maturation is conceptualized as the movement from trauma-driven schema patterns toward the emergence of the Authentic Self, characterized by mindful presence and integrated functioning [3]. In an MCIP-informed supervisory context, this developmental trajectory is supported through the consistent integration of skill acquisition with reflective and compassionate practices.

Supervisors play a formative role by linking clinical interventions to broader values and by encouraging supervisees to articulate their professional stance. Through ongoing dialogue, feedback, and modeling, supervision reinforces coherence between personal values and therapeutic principles. Effective supervision strengthens professional identity by fostering confidence, authenticity, and commitment to ethical practice [2]. Empirical findings further suggest that the quality of the supervisory alliance is positively associated with therapists' sense of coherence and professional accomplishment [4]. Although these findings do not specifically examine mindfulness-oriented supervision, they support the broader proposition that relationally secure supervision contributes to adaptive professional development.

Within MCIP, professional identity is thus shaped through repeated exposure to mindful-compassionate modeling and reflective inquiry. Over time, supervisees may come to define competence not exclusively in terms of procedural accuracy but in relation to the quality of presence, ethical awareness, and self-regulation they bring to clinical work. The proposition that MCIP supervision fosters a resilient and authentic professional self represents a theoretically grounded integration of schema theory, compassion research, and supervision literature. While directly supported by evidence linking supervisory alliance to professional well-being [4] and by integrative supervision principles [2], the broader claim regarding the specific impact of MCIP remains a conceptual extension requiring empirical investigation.

7. RISKS OF INADEQUATE SUPERVISION AND THE PROTECTIVE FUNCTION OF MINDFULNESS

Inadequate supervision, characterized by excessive criticism, emotional detachment, or lack of reflective attunement, carries significant risks for both supervisees and supervisors. Research on professional development has highlighted that when clinical work is dominated by distress and insufficient relational support, therapists may experience what has been termed "Stressful Involvement," marked by anxiety, self-doubt, and diminished professional confidence [14]. Within supervisory contexts, overly judgmental or emotionally distant interactions may amplify such experiences, potentially undermining resilience and professional growth. Supervision that lacks empathic containment may inadvertently contribute to defensive withdrawal, erosion of confidence, and increased vulnerability to burnout.

Mindfulness practice has been increasingly examined as a protective factor against occupational stress. Meta-analytic findings indicate that mindfulness-based interventions are associated with reductions in emotional exhaustion and psychological distress among healthcare professionals [15, 16]. Controlled trials have similarly demonstrated that structured mindfulness programs can significantly decrease burnout indicators, particularly emotional exhaustion [17]. Although these studies do not focus specifically on supervisors, their findings suggest that mindfulness enhances affect regulation, attentional stability, and stress tolerance in high-demand relational professions.

Within supervision, the supervisor's own mindfulness practice may therefore function as a stabilizing influence. A supervisor who engages in ongoing reflective practice is more likely to recognize personal stress reactions, regulate emotional arousal, and maintain balanced responsiveness. A resiliency-focused supervision model that explicitly incorporates supervisor self-care and reflective awareness as foundational components of effective oversight was proposed [18]. Such frameworks underscore that supervisory competence includes the capacity to monitor one's own psychological state and to prevent unprocessed stress from entering the supervisory relationship.

In a Mindfulness- and Compassion-Oriented Integrative Psychotherapy framework, supervisors are encouraged to apply mindfulness and self-compassion to their own experiences of strain. Brief centering pauses, intentional breathing, and reflective self-kindness may serve not only as personal coping strategies but also as relational modeling. Observing a supervisor regulate stress with composure and compassion communicates that self-care is a professional responsibility rather than a peripheral activity. While the specific mechanisms through which supervisor mindfulness influences supervisee outcomes remain to be empirically clarified, existing evidence on mindfulness and burnout provides a coherent rationale for integrating these practices into supervisory training.

In summary, supervision that lacks empathic awareness and self-reflection risks perpetuating cycles of stress within the supervisory system. Mindfulness and compassion training for supervisors are therefore proposed as protective safeguards that promote relational balance, prevent cumulative exhaustion, and sustain ethical and effective supervision.

8. CONCLUSIONS

This theoretical paper has argued that supervision within Mindfulness- and Compassion-Oriented Integrative Psychotherapy should be understood as a relational process structured by mindfulness, compassion, and ethical responsibility. Drawing on integrative supervision literature, schema theory, compassion research, and empirical findings on supervisory alliance and burnout, we proposed that supervision in MCIP extends beyond technical instruction and becomes a transformative relational field. Within this field, the supervisor's mindful presence and compassionate stance support reflective capacity, resilience, and ethical clarity.

Several core propositions were advanced. First, mindfulness and compassion operate as meta-processes of change not only in therapy but also in supervision. Second, supervision informed by MCIP prioritizes psychological stance and embodied awareness over procedural memorization. Third, the supervisory relationship may function as a mindful-compassionate field that enhances attunement and professional growth. Fourth, supervision provides a context for recognizing and regulating the therapist's activated maladaptive schemas, conceptualized as aspects of the Wounded Self. Fifth, ethical accountability can be maintained within a compassionate framework that preserves standards while reducing shame. Finally, supervisor mindfulness and self-care were identified as protective factors against burnout and relational strain.

Some conclusions are directly supported by empirical evidence, particularly research linking supervisory alliance to therapist well-being and studies demonstrating the stress-reducing effects of mindfulness-based interventions. Other elements, such as the specific mechanisms of schema transformation within supervision, remain theoretically derived and require empirical validation.

Overall, the model offers a coherent integrative framework for understanding supervision as a relational site of professional development and ethical sustainability within MCIP.

REFERENCES

1. Žvelc, G., & Žvelc, M. (2021). *Integrative psychotherapy: A mindfulness- and compassion-oriented approach*. Routledge.
2. Norcross, J. C., & Popple, L. M. (2017). *Supervision essentials for integrative psychotherapy*. American Psychological Association.
3. Benda, J. (2025). *Mindfulness and the self: Mindfulness-informed integrative psychotherapy*. Routledge.
4. Hiebler-Ragger, M., Nausner, L., Blaha, A., Grimmer, K., Korlath, S., Mernyi, M., & Unterrainer, H. F. (2021). The supervisory relationship from an attachment perspective: Connections to burnout and sense of coherence in health professionals. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy, 28*(1), 124–136. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.2494
5. Coaston, S. C. (2019). Cultivating self-compassion within the supervision relationship. *The Clinical Supervisor, 38*(1), 79–96. https://doi.org/10.1080/07325223.2018.1525596
6. Carroll, M., & Tholstrup, M. (Eds.). (2001). *Integrative approaches to supervision*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers.

7. Žvelc, M., & Žvelc, G. (2023). *Mindfulness and compassion in integrative supervision.* Routledge.
8. Wampold, B. E., & Imel, Z. E. (2015). *The great psychotherapy debate: The evidence for what makes psychotherapy work* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
9. Neff, K. D., & Germer, C. K. (2013). A pilot study and randomized controlled trial of the Mindful Self-Compassion program. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 69*(1), 28–44. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.21923
10. Kirby, J. N., Tellegen, C. L., & Steindl, S. R. (2017). A meta-analysis of compassion-based interventions: Current state of knowledge and future directions. *Behavior Therapy, 48*(6), 778–792. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2017.06.003
11. Desmond, T. (2018). *Self-compassion in psychotherapy: Mindfulness-based practices for healing and transformation*. W. W. Norton.
12. Deng, X., Yang, M., Chen, X., Zhan, Y., & Wang, Q. (2023). The role of mindfulness on theta inter-brain synchrony during cooperation feedback processing: An EEG-based hyperscanning study. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology, 23*(4), 100396.
13. Evans, A., Griffith, G. M., & Smithson, J. (2024). What do supervisors' and supervisees' think about mindfulness-based supervision? A grounded theory study. *Mindfulness, 15*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-023-02280-8
14. Rønnestad, M. H., & Orlinsky, D. E. (2005). Clinical implications: Training, supervision, and practice. In D. E. Orlinsky & M. H. Rønnestad (Eds.), *How psychotherapists develop: A study of therapeutic work and professional growth* (pp. 181–201). American Psychological Association.
15. Lomas, T., Medina, J. C., Ivtzan, I., Rupprecht, S., & Eiroa-Orosa, F. J. (2018). A systematic review of the impact of mindfulness on the well-being of healthcare professionals. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 74*(3), 319–355. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22515
16. Spinelli, C., Wisener, M., & Khoury, B. (2019). Mindfulness training for healthcare professionals and trainees: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 120*, 29–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2019.03.003
17. West, C. P., Dyrbye, L. N., Erwin, P. J., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2016). Interventions to prevent and reduce physician burnout: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet, 388*(10057), 2272–2281. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31279-X
18. Mack, B. M. (2020). Resiliency-focused supervision model: Addressing stress, burnout, and self-care among social workers. *Advances in Social Work, 20*(3), 596–614.